

**THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO**  
**SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**  
**CASE SUMMARIES**  
**January 27, 2023**

These case summaries are issued for the convenience of the public, the bench, and the bar. They are a brief statement of the court's holdings and are not to be considered headnotes or syllabi. Copies of opinions are available from the particular county's clerk of courts. The full text of each opinion will be available on the Ohio Supreme Court's website at <http://www.supremecourt.ohio.gov/rod/docs/?source=2>.

Case Name: *State of Ohio v. Ora Donaldson*  
Case No: Montgomery C.A. No. 29473; T.C. Case Nos. 2020 CR 01113/1; 2021 CR 00192  
Panel: Welbaum, Epley, Lewis  
Author: Jeffrey M. Welbaum  
Summary: Appellate counsel moved to withdraw pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), having found no non-frivolous issues for appeal. An examination of the record reveals no non-frivolous issues having arguable merit. Judgments affirmed.

Case Name: *State of Ohio v. Caleb Whitfield*  
Case No: Montgomery C.A. No. 29442; T.C. Case No. 2021 CR 1185  
Panel: Welbaum, Tucker, Lewis  
Author: Michael L. Tucker  
Summary: The trial court did not err in overruling appellant's motion to dismiss the charges against him on statutory speedy-trial grounds. With the exception of a specification accompanying two vehicular assault charges, appellant's convictions were based on legally sufficient evidence and were not against the weight of the evidence. The State did present legally insufficient evidence to prove the vehicular-assault specification, which applied only to driving with a suspended license, not to driving without any license. The trial court erred in admitting evidence of appellant's prior juvenile adjudication for burglary, but the error was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt. The trial court also erred in refusing to allow defense counsel to engage in certain cross-examination of a detective, but again the error was harmless. No cumulative error deprived appellant of a fair trial. Judgment affirmed in part, reversed in part, and remanded for resentencing.